

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

 \mathbf{I}

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/498,429	02/04/2000	Mark E. Holzbach	065113.0146	8813	
33031 7	590 11/19/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	STEPHENSON ASCO	POON, R	POON, KING Ÿ		
BLDG. 4, SUIT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX	78759		2624	6	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2

	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	7.			
		98,429	HOLZBACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit	,			
		/. Poon	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of - If the period for reply specified above is less than this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for - Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(the status)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In rommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within the m statutory period will apply a reply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of the	o event, however, may a reply be tile e statutory minimum of thirty (30) day nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 Februal</u> Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) included the proof of th	ary 2000 is/are: a)☐ bjection to the drawing ding the correction is re	(s) be held in abeyance. Se quired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classified copies of the priorum 2. Certified copies of the priorum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The attached detailed of a claimant certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation of the foreign certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translation certified copies of the priorum 4.3) The translat	of: inty documents have rity documents have les of the priority documents have ational Bureau (PCT ction for a list of the common for domestic priority uded in the first sente language provisional more domestic priority for domestic priority for domestic priority documents and the first sente language provisional more domestic priority documents and the first sente language provisional for domestic priority documents and the first sente language provisional	been received. been received in Applicat uments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not receive y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ence of the specification of application has been receive y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sheceived. O and/or 121 since a specific	et.			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144			/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, lines 10-11, is claiming "... an image processing station, each having ...". It is unclear whether there is/are one image processing station or more than one image processing station.

Claim 1, line 4 is claiming one or more data acquisition stations; it is unclear which data acquisition station is referring to by the data acquisition station of lines 12, and 17, if there are more than one data acquisition stations. Similarly, it is unclear which data acquisition station is referring to by the data acquisition station of claims 2, 4, 10, 11, 12.

Art Unit: 2624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonny Gustafsson (Internet-based support for the production of holographic stereograms, Practical Holography XII, March, 1998, pp. 169-174) in view of Kihara et al. (US 6,236,475).

Regarding claim 17: Gustafsson teaches method (all the discussion is referring to specification of the end user interface, page 171, unless stated otherwise) for producing master holographic stereograms (holograms) (line 4; note) on-demand for an individual customer, (user line 2) from customer-provided source material, (VRML file, line 4) comprising the steps of acquiring image data (image, lines 6-8) at a data acquisition station (the place where the user's computer is located), having a data acquisition processor (the processing software of the user's computer that receives image data from the VRML file, lines 3-8) that receives image data based on the source material and a customer-based preview processor (the software of the user's computer that controls the display of the hologram to the user, lines 3-9) that displays a representation of the hologram for viewing by the customer; delivering the image data to an image processing station. (Producer or printer, lines 10-11)

Art Unit: 2624

Gustafsson does not teach an image processor operable to generate hogel data based on image data received from the data acquisition station; and delivering the hogel data to a printing station having a spatial light modulator for receiving the hogel data from the image processor and for displaying holographic object images, and having a printer for producing a holographic stereogram.

Kihara, in the same area of printing holograms, teaches it is well known in the art that a hologram is printed by using an image processing station (control computer, fig. 6) having an image processor (inherent properties of a computer) operable to generate hogel data (signal of D5, fig. 6, column 10, lines 30-35) based on image data received from a data acquisition station (11, fig. 6); and delivering the hogel data to a printing station (printer device 13, column 10, lines 40-45) having a spatial light modulator (LCD 18, column 10, lines 40-50) for receiving the hogel data (D5 fig. 6) from the image processor and for displaying holographic object images, (column 11, lines 25-32) and having a printer (printer head, column 12, lines 45-52) for producing a holographic stereogram. (Hologram 19, column 11, lines 20-25)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system to include: an image processor, in the image processing station, operable to generate hogel data based on image data received from the data acquisition station; and delivering the hogel data to a printing station having a spatial light modulator for receiving the hogel data from the image processor and for displaying holographic object images, and having a printer for producing a holographic stereogram.

Art Unit: 2624

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system by the teaching of Kihara because of the following reasons: (a) it would have allowed Gustafsson's system to be able to print the hologram; and (b) using a well-known method of creating the hologram would have allowed users benefit from years of research and experience that has been used in producing holograms such that the hologram produced would have the highest quality with less cost.

Note: Inherently, all holograms are masters because inherently, hologram provides images and images can be converted into hologram.

Regarding claim 18: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition station is remote from the image processing station and the printing station. (Internet, page 171)

Regarding claim 19: Gustafsson does not teach wherein the image processing station also has an operator-based preview processor operable to display a representation of the hologram for viewing by an operator of the image processor.

However, Gustafsson teaches to use a computer to display a representation of the hologram for viewing by a user of the image processor.

Since a producer is a human and is controlling the producing of the hologram, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system to include: wherein the image processing station (computer) also has an operator-based preview processor operable to display a representation of the

Art Unit: 2624

hologram for viewing by an operator of the image processor; because it would have allowed the producer to view what he is doing and help him to reduce error.

Regarding claim 20: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition station is a personal computer. (Computer, ordinary user, page 169)

Regarding claim 21: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data communication is accomplished via the Internet. (Page 171)

Regarding claim 22: Gustafsson taches wherein the data acquisition processor and the customer-based preview processor execute with programming downloaded to the personal computer. (Download VRML browser, page 171)

Regarding claim 23: Gustafsson teaches wherein the customer-based preview processor displays preview images downloaded from a server. (Object from Web page, page 170).

Regarding claim 24: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor receives at least input from a video source. (Animated photographs, page 169, 172)

Regarding claim 25: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor receives at least input from two dimensional printed material. (Photograph, page 169)

Regarding claim 26: Gustafsson teaches the step of compositing image data from different source material. (Add and remove data, page 170, e.g., the adding and removing of light sources)

Regarding claim 27: Gustafsson teaches wherein the compositing occurs at the data acquisition station. (Browser of user, page 170)

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claim 28: Gustafsson teaches wherein the compositing occurs at a server site, such that the pre-view processor displays composited preview images download from the server sites.

Note: Gustafsson teaches downloaded 3D images in VRML format from other computer/server, page 170; load VRML files from computer systems or disk, page 171; and upload the composite VRML files to another computer located in the producer. Therefore, Gustafsson teaches compositing of images occurs at one computer site; and displayed the composited preview images, download from the one computer site, in another computer site.

Regarding claim 29: Gustafsson does not teach wherein the image processing station and printing station are geographically remote and in data communication.

However, Gustafsson teaches communication using Internet (page 171) and the concept of using Internet is to allow two communication parties to be remote from each other.

Since the image processing station and the printing station are two different devices communicating with each other; it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system by the teaching of Internet technology and concept to include: wherein the image processing station and printing station are geographically remote and in data communication.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Gustafsson's system by the teaching of Internet technology

Application/Control Number: 09498429

Art Unit: 2624

and concept because it would have expanded the hologram producing system of Gustafsson by

allowing the image processing station to control a printing system everywhere in the world.

Regarding claim 30: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor delivers

Page 8

2D sequence data to the image processor. (A number of plane polygonal patches, page 170)

Regarding claim 31: Gustafsson teaches wherein the data acquisition processor delivers

computer generated 3D graphics data to the image processor. (VRML file, page 169, 170)

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Baba et al. (US 6,108,440) teaches a method of producing hologram.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is (703) 305-0892

King Jan Porn

November 10, 2003